Part B State Annual Performance Report (APR) for 2007

Overview of the Annual Performance Report (APR) Development:

The Rhode Island Department of Education (RIDE) first complied and analyzed data for the development of the Annual Performance Report (APR)/State Performance Plan (SPP) utilizing the expertise of internal personnel. A draft along with the data was reviewed with the Rhode Island Special Education Advisory Committee (RISEAC), RISEAC advises the Commissioner and Board of Regents for Elementary and Secondary Education on matters concerning: (a) the unmet educational needs of children with disabilities; (b) comments publicly on any rules or regulations proposed by the State regarding the education of children with disabilities; (c) advises the Rhode Island Department of Education in developing evaluations and reporting on data to the Secretary under section 618 of the IDEA; (d) advises the RIDE in developing corrective action plans to address findings identified in Federal Monitoring Reports under Part B of the IDEA: and (e) advises the RIDE in developing and implementing policies relating to the coordination of services for children with disabilities. Membership of the committee is composed of individuals involved in or concerned with the education of children with disabilities. Parents of children with disabilities birth through 26 maintain the majority of the Committee Membership. The Membership also includes individuals with disabilities, teachers, representatives of institutions of higher education, private schools, charter schools, state and local education officials, administrators of programs for children with disabilities foster care and homelessness, vocational, community or business organizations, juvenile and adult corrections and State Child Serving Agencies. The SEAC reviewed the draft and provided suggestions and input. These were incorporated into the final copy of this document.

Monitoring Priority:

Indicator 3: Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:

- A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup.
- B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.
- C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.

Measurement:

- A. Percent = [(# of districts meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for the disability subgroup (children with IEPs)) divided by the (total # of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size in the State)] times100.
- B. Participation rate =
 - a. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades;
 - b. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations (percent = [(b) divided by (a)] times 100);
 - c. # of children with IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations (percent = [(c) divided by (a)] times 100);
 - d. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against grade level achievement standards (percent = [(d) divided by (a)] times 100); and
 - e. # of children with IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards (percent = [(e) divided by (a)] times 100).

Account for any children included in a but not included in b, c, d, or e above.

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e) divided by (a)].

- C. Proficiency rate =
 - a. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades;
 - b. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with no accommodations (percent = [(b) divided by(a)] times 100);
 - c. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the regular assessment with accommodations (percent = [(c) divided by (a)] times 100);
 - d. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured by the alternate assessment against grade level achievement standards (percent = [(d) divided by (a)] times 100); and
 - e. # of children with IEPs in assessed grades who are proficient or above as measured against alternate achievement standards (percent = [(e) divided by (a)] times 100).

Account for any children included in a but not included in b, c, d, or e above.

Overall Percent = [(b + c + d + e)] divided by (a)].

Actual Target Data for FFY 2007

Indicator 3A: Percent = # of districts meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for the disability subgroup (children with IEPs) divided by the total of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size times 100.							
Districts meeting AYP for Students with Disabilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2007-2008 67%							

<u>Indicator 3B</u>: Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade-level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.

	Math Assessment (Participation)								
Statewide Assessment 2007-2008	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11	T	otal
								#	%
a) Children with IEPs	1810	1991	2125	2179	2296	2357	2004	14762	
b) IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations	841	774	682	726	845	877	939	5684	38.5%
(%)	46.5%	38.9%	32.1%	33.3%	36.8%	37.2%	46.9%		
c) IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations	860	1121	1356	1334	1349	1316	856	8192	55.5%
(%)	47.5%	56.3%	63.8%	61.2%	58.8%	55.8%	42.7%		

d) IEPs in alternate assessment against grade- level standards*	Rhod	Rhode Island does not have alternative assessment that assesses children against grade level standards.							
e) IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate standards	78	71	69	79	71	106	63	537	3.6%
(%)	4.3%	3.6%	3.2%	3.6%	3.1%	4.5%	3.1%		
Overall (b+c+d+e)	1779 98.3%	1966 98.7%	2107 99.2%	2139 98.2%	2265 98.6%	2299 97.5%	1858 92.7%	14413	97.6%
Below are included in a but not included in b, c, d, or e	90.576	90.770	99.270	98.270	98.070	91.370	92.170		
Exemptions	3	0	5	7	5	2	14	36	0.2%
Invalid Results	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Tested Other	28	25	13	33	26	56	132	313	2.1%

		Reading (Participation)							
Statewide Assessment 2007-2008	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11	Tot	al
								#	%
a) Children with IEPs	1812	1997	2130	2185	2300	2362	2012	14798	
b) IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations	844	781	685	729	845	880	948	5712	38.6%
(%)	46.6%	39.1%	32.2%	33.4%	36.7%	37.3%	47.1%		
c) IEPs in regular assessment with	859	1118	1351	1338	1351	1316	856	8189	55.3%
accommodations (%)	47.4%	56.0%	63.4%	61.2%	58.7%	55.7%	42.5%		
d) IEPs in alternate assessment against grade- level standards*	Rhoo	le Island			rnative as ade level			sesses chi	ldren
e) IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate standards (%)	78 4.3%	72 3.6%	68 3.0%	79 3.4%	70 2.9%	94 4.4%	60 2.9%	521	3.5%
Overall (b+c+d+e)	1781	1971	2104	2146	2266	2290	1864	14422	97.5%
(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	98.3%	98.7%	98.8%	98.2%	98.5%	97.0%	92.6%		
Below are included in a but not included in b, c, d, or e									
Exemptions	4	5	8	10	8	4	11	50	0.3%
Invalid Results	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0.0%
Not tested other	27	21	18	29	26	68	135	324	2.2%

Indicator 3C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade-level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.

0	Math	Assessm	nent (Per	formance	e = Profic	cient or b	etter)		
Statewide Assessment 2007-2008 % Proficient	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11	То	tal
								#	%
a) Children with IEPs	1810	1991	2125	2179	2296	2357	2004	14762	
b) IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations	408	283	257	169	189	175	32	1513	10.2%
(%)	22.5%	14.2%	12.1%	7.8%	8.2%	7.4%	1.6%		
c) IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations	222	203	280	194	144	126	21	1190	8.1%
(%)	12.3%	10.2%	13.2%	8.9%	6.3%	5.3%	1.0%		
d) IEPs in alternate assessment against grade- level standards	Rhod	Rhode Island does not have alternative assessment that assesses children against grade level standards.						ildren	
e) IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate standards	47	45	38	45	43	73	19	310	2.1%
(%)	2.6%	2.3%	1.8%	2.1%	1.9%	3.1%	0.9%		
Overall (b+c+d+e)	677	531	575	408	376	374	72	3013	20.4%
Proficient	37.4%	26.7%	27.1%	18.7%	16.4%	15.9%	3.6%		

Reading (Performance = Proficient or better)									
Statewide Assessment 2007-2008 % Proficient	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11	To	otal
70 1 1011010111								#	%

a) Children with IEPs	1812	1997	2130	2185	2300	2362	2012	14798	
b) IEPs in regular assessment with no accommodations	436	339	277	235	308	208	168	1971	13.3%
(%)	24.1%	17.0%	13.0%	10.8%	13.4%	8.8%	8.3%		
c) IEPs in regular assessment with accommodations	205	193	244	267	285	168	123	1485	10.0%
(%)	11.3%	9.7%	11.5%	12.2%	12.4%	7.1%	6.1%		
d) IEPs in alternate assessment against grade-level standards	Rhode Island does not have alternative assessment that assesses children against grade level standards.								
e) IEPs in alternate assessment against alternate standards	46	44	37	52	48	69	24	320	2.2%
(%)	2.5%	2.2%	1.7%	2.4%	2.1%	2.9%	1.2%		
Overall (b+c+d+e)	687	576	558	554	641	445	315	3776	25.5%
Proficient	37.9%	28.8%	26.2%	25.4%	27.9%	18.8%	15.7%		

Discussion of Improvement Activities Completed <u>and</u> Explanation of Progress or Slippage that occurred for FFY 2007:

During the 2006-2007 academic year, Rhode Island students participated in the New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP). Students were assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3 through 8 and 11, as well as writing at grades 5, and 8, and 11. Since the NECAP is a fall test it assesses the prior years learning. Students with significant cognitive disabilities who met the state's alternate assessment criteria were assessed using the Rhode Island Alternate Assessment. The Rhode Island Alternate Assessment is a yearlong assessment. In order to assess student learning over the same academic year as the NECAP, students are assessed using the alternate assessment in grades 2-8 and 10 in Reading and Mathematics and grades 4, 7, and 10 in writing.

Assessment data is reported to the public at the state and district level disaggregated by content area, assessment and population subgroup (African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American, White, Male, Female, Students living in Poverty, English-language Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Migrant students). This data is reported through the state Information Works website and publication, and the NECAP reporting website. Assessment results are not reported for groups fewer that ten students. Therefore aggregating those results assesses student learning over the same academic year for the purposes of AYP. Rhode Island allows for two types of exemptions from the State Assessment Program. One is a medical exemption granted by the state. The second is an English Language Learner (ELL) exemption in the content area of ELA only for student who have been in the United States for less than one year. The ELL exemption is in compliance with Federal Law.

During the 2007-2008 school year, twenty four of Rhode Island's 36 districts (67%) met the states AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup exceeding its target of 65% of districts meeting AYP. Districts not making AYP received classifications according to the state accountability and classification process. These classifications require different levels of intervention depending on the number of years in which they have not met AYP requirements.

Rhode Island did not meet its target of 100% participation for children with IEPs on the state assessment although RI did demonstrate progress from the previous year. The participation rate was 97.5% in Reading was and 97.6% in Mathematics. In analyzing Rhode Island's state assessment proficiency results, Rhode Island has met several of its targets. In Mathematics, Rhode Island met or exceeded two of seven of its grade specific targets for proficiency rate. In Reading, Rhode Island met or exceeded its targets for the proficiency rate for grades three, six, and seven. Progress or slippage in proficiency rates may be attributed to a variety of factors including teacher professional development in differentiated

instruction and instruction for students eligible for the RIAA, better alignment of instruction with state standards, high school reform efforts, changes in curriculum, and inclusion.

		AYP		66%	Met target
		Participation		100%	Did not meet target
			Proficie	ncy	
		Mathema	atics	Readi	ng
	Grade 3	32% proficient or above	Met target	35% proficient or above	Met target
2007	Grade 4	28% proficient or above	Did not meet target	29% proficient or above	Did not meet target
(2007-2008)	Grade 5	26% proficient or above	Met target	28% proficient or above	Did not meet target
	Grade 6	19% proficient or above	Did not meet target	23% proficient or above	Met target
	Grade 7	17% proficient or above	Did not meet target	22% proficient or above	Met target
	Grade 8	18% proficient or above	Did not meet target	25% proficient or above	Did not meet target
	Grade 11	16% proficient or above	Did not meet target	24% proficient or above	Did not meet target

Revisions, $\underline{\text{with Justification}}$, to Proposed Targets /Improvement Activities/Timelines/Resources for FFY2008

The measurable and rigorous targets for grade 11 were revised due to changes in our assessment system. The data used to set targets was based on our New Standards Reference Exam. In 2007, NECAP began being administered to the 11th grade. The proposed targets have been revised to include new proficiency targets for grades 11 using the 2007 NECAP data as our baseline.

Proficiency Targets Grade 11							
Mathematics Reading							
2008 (2008-2009)	2008 (2008-2009) 5 % proficient or above						
2009 (2009-2010)	2009 (2009-2010) 6 % proficient or above						
2010 (2010-2011)	2010 (2010-2011) 7 % proficient or above 1						

Improvement Activity	Timelines	Resources
----------------------	-----------	-----------

State Assessment Program: NECAP will be administered grades 3-8 and 11 during the 2008-2009 academic year. Rhode Island will continue to implement Rhode Island Alternate Assessment including grades 2-8 and 10. The new Rhode Island alternate assessment system (RIAA) is based on Alternate Assessment Grade Span Expectations (AAGSE) that are derived and expanded from the NECAP Grade Level Expectations (GLE). RIAA training for teachers will continue to have a focus on improving instruction for students who are eligible for the RIAA.	Academic year 2008-2009	RI Department of Education, Office for Diverse Learners and Office of Assessment and Accountability personnel
Rhode Island's Collaborative System of Focused Monitoring: School Support System (SSS) incorporates a variety of instruments and procedures that are utilized to ensure compliance with state and federal laws and regulations. The SSS visits will continue to examine LEAs' state assessment records for participation rates and student performance; work with LEAs to analyze problematic areas and their contributing factors; and revise policies, procedures and practices to ensure access to the general curriculum, full participation in and high performance of students with disabilities on state assessment.	Ongoing to the year 2011	RI Department of Education, Office of Special Populations personnel RI Technical Assistance Project personnel RI Department of Education, Office of Assessment and Accountability personnel
Our professional development programs continue to provide opportunities for general and special educators to increase their capacity to provide differentiation of instruction and other support for diverse learning needs, social-emotional supports, access to the general curriculum, etc.	Ongoing through 2008-2009 academic year	RI Department of Education Office for Diverse Learners personnel
Promoting Service in the Least Restrictive Environment for Students with Disabilities that Significantly Affect Functioning: We continue to support professional development and demonstration classrooms to promote the education of students with autism and other low-incidence disabilities in the appropriate least restrictive environment, including general education settings as much as possible. We partner with our University Center on Disabilities (The Sherlock Center) on efforts to promote inclusive provision of services for all students, including those with developmental and other significant disabilities.	Ongoing through 2008-2009 academic year	RI Department of Education Office for Diverse Learners personnel University Center on Disabilities (The Sherlock Center)
High School Reform: Access to all the standards in the general curriculum is required as a part of the RI Proficiency Based Graduation Requirements (PBGR).	Ongoing, full implementation will take effect in 2008	RI Department of Education, Office of Middle and High School Reform personnel

		RI Department of Education Office for Diverse Learners personnel
Mathematics Summits: The purpose of the mathematics summits is to provide districts with the knowledge and tools to align their district	2008-2009 academic year	The Charles A. Dana Center
curriculum with the state standards and to improve mathematics instruction.		RI Department of Education, Office for Assessment Accountability and Instruction.